



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

K1

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

08/817,547 03/27/97 ADERMANN

K 07856-0007

JONES & ASKEW
191 PEACHTREE STREET 37TH FLOOR
ATLANTA GA 30303-1769

HM12/0722

EXAMINER

KEMMERER, E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1646

16

DATE MAILED:

07/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/817547

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |

| EXAMINER | |
|----------|--------------|
| | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | 16 |

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Exmr. Kemmerer (3) Attys. Kim Prior, David Wigley, Ralph
(2) Atty. Mary Anthony Merchant (4) Maskins, James Schulz, Sumita
Date of interview 7/20/99 Chowdhury-Ghosh.

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: attached fax'd proposed
amdt.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The main issue is
which PTH ~~fract~~ fragments are active or inactive. Applicant
will supply art establishing PTH(1-84) is active. ~~Ex~~ Examiner
will attempt to identify art establishing PTH(1-84) is inactive.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Elizabeth C. Kemmerer
Examiner's Signature